Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/858,163	EHRHART, MICHAEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kimberly D. Nguyen	2876
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Kimberly D. Nguyen.	(3) George Blasiak.	
(2) <u>Michael Lee</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 December 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed:		
,		
Claims discussed:		
30 and its dependent claims 31-32 and 53		
Prior art documents discussed:  Bunte et al (US 5,821,523)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
KON.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – it appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Be

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon discussing Bunte et al's reference (figs. 9 and 11; column 4, lines 31-46) corresponding to independent claim 30, Mr. Blasiak agreed to cancel claims 30-32 and 53 without prejudice and authorized an Examiner's Amendment to incorporate the change. Mr. Blasiak also suggested that proposed cancelled claims 30-32 and 53 may be filed in later application(s).